• JS 44 (Rev. 3/99) C8	se 3:03-cv-0219	D GAMANDA	COVERSPEED 193	Page 1 of 7 Page	geID 1	
The JS-44 civil cover shee by law, except as provide the use of the Clerk of Co	et and the information ced by local rules of courter for the purpose of ir	omained herein neithert. This form, approvaitating the civil dock	er replace nor supplement the feed by the Judicial Conference tet sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTI	filing and service of pleading of the United States in Service ONS ON THE REVERSE	gs or other papers as required ptember 1974, is required for	
I. (a) NAINTIFFS	2. 6.2.2.2		DEFENDAN		of the form.	
(//	IA FARIAS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HCROFT, ATTORN	EY GENERAL	
TIMEN AND AN	IA PANIAD		AND			
	rr	IADDANGE C	に // WITUITGRAT	T DIRECTOR, BU ION AND CUSTO ace of First Listed Defendant	REAU OF S_ENFORCE ENT	
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff 1 XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINT II	ARRANT E C	County of Resider			
(12.	ACERT MY O.S. I EARLY R	T CASHIO	26 2003 NOTE IN LAND	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASI ND CONDEMNATION CASES, U		
		SE	LAND	INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nan	ne, Address, and Telephone	Number	IS DISTRICT COMMONeys If Kn	own)		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) JESUS SAUCEDA, ATTORNEY AT LAWCLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF TEXAS 2565 NE 28th STREET						
FORT WORTH, 817 740 999	TEXAS 76111					
II. BASIS OF JURISI		" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
			(For Diversity Cases Only) PIF	DEF	and One Box for Defendant)	
G I U.S Government Plaintiff	G 3 Federal Question	n ment Not a Party)		1 G 1 Incorporated or		
		ивяц нога Рапу)		of Business In	This State	
X G X X U.S. Government Defendant	G 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz	zenship of Parties	Citizen of Another State G	<u> </u>	d Principal Place G 5 G 5	
	in Item III)					
			Citizen or Subject of a G Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	G 6 G 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI		One Box Only) ORTS	EVAN ESE PRE DA MARIA E COM			
G 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	FORFEITURE/PENALTY Y G 610 Agriculture	G422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES	
G 120 Marine G 130 Miller Act	G 310 Airplane G 315 Airplane Product	G 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice	G 620 Other Food & Drug		G 400 State Reapportionment G 410 Antitrust	
G 140 Negotiable Instrument G 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability G 320 Assault, Libel &	G 365 Personal Injury —	of Property 21 USC 881	G423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	G 430 Banks and Banking G 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	Product Liability G 368 Asbestos Personal		PROPERTY RIGHTS	G 460 Deportation G 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
G 151 Medicare Act G 152 Recovery of Defaulted	G 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability	G 650 Airline Regs. G 660 Occupational	G820 Copyrights G830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations G 810 Selective Service	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	G 340 Marine G 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER' G 370 Other Fraud	TY Safety/Health G 690 Other	G840 Trademark	G 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
G 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability G 350 Motor Vehicle	G 371 Truth in Lending G 380 Other Personal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	G 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
G 160 Stockholders' Suits G 190 Other Contract	G 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage G 385 Property Damage	G 710 Fair Labor Standards	G861 HIA (1395ff)	G 891 Agricultural Acts	
G 195 Contract Product Liability	G 360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability	Act G 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	G862 Black Lung (923) G863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	G 892 Economic Stabilization Act G 893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIC	G 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	G864 SSID Title XVI G865 RSI (405(g))	G 894 Energy Allocation Act G 895 Freedom of	
G 210 Land Condemnation G 220 Foreclosure	G 441 Voting G 442 Employment	G 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	& Disclosure Act G 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Information Act G 900 Appeal of Fee	
G 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment G 240 Torts to Land	G 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: G 530 General	G 790 Other Labor Litigation	@70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
G 245 Tort Product Liability G 290 All Other Real Property	G 444 Welfare G 440 Other Civil Rights	G 535 Death Penalty G 540 Mandamus & Othe		or Defendant)	G 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	O THE CARLE OF THE PARTY	G 550 Civil Rights	Fig. 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	@ 71 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	G 890 Other Statutory Actions	
PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	G 555 Prison Condition X ONLY)				
v. URIGIN				erred from district	Appeal to District Judge from	
X Proceeding Sta	ate Court A	appellate Court	⁴ Reinstated or G ⁵ (specify Reopened		ct G 7 Magistrate Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity.)	g and write brief statement of cause			
	NATURE OF 11.	ANDA ^{ll} US 8 U	SC §1103(a)			
VII. REQUESTED IN G CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION			DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only if	demanded in complaint	
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): G Yes G No					G Yes G No	
IF ANY N/A JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD Levis Lanceda						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						
RECEIPT #AMOUNTAPPLYING IFPJUDGEMAG. JUDGE						

X

RIGINA 8:03-cv-02196 Document 1 Filed 09/26/03

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS, TEXAS

e 2 of 7 U NORT	PANSTBICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED				
	SEP 2 6 2003				
· CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT					
1 23	Deputy				

ADAN FARIAS, AND	
ANA FARIAS PLAINTIFFS	CAUSE NO.
V.	
JOHN ASHCROFT,)
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND)
DISTRICT DIRECTOR	
BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION AND	A#: 29 247 009
CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT	·)

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR WRIT IN THE NATURE OF **MANDAMUS**

COMES NOW Ana and Adan Farias, Plaintiffs in the above-styled and numbered cause, and for cause of action would show unto the Court the following:

1. This action is brought against the Defendants to compel action on an application for lawful permanent resident status properly filed by the Plaintiff's. The application was filed and remains within the jurisdiction of the Defendants, who have improperly withheld action on said application to Plaintiff's detriment.

PARTIES

2. Plaintiff Ana Farias is a 42 year-old native and citizen of El Salvador. She entered the United States in July 1987 as an illegal alien. Since her arrival in 1987, Plaintiff has been employed. She received initial authorized employment

- under Temporary Protected Status under 8 CFR § 244. Since filing for lawful permanent resident status in 1996, she has been employed as authorized by federal regulation. 8 CFR §274a.12 (c)(9)
- 3. Plaintiff Ana Farias is a 42 year-old native and citizen of El Salvador and the spouse of Adan Farias. She entered the United States as an undocumented alien and accordingly, is entitled to seek lawful permanent resident status as accompanying his wife pursuant to INA §203(d). 8 USC §1153(d) and § 245(i) of the Act.
- 4. Defendant John Ashcroft is Attorney General of the United States, and this action is brought against him in his official capacity. He is generally charged with enforcement of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and is further authorized to delegate such powers and authority to subordinate employees of the Department of Justice. 8 USC §1103(a). More specifically, the Attorney General is responsible for the adjudication of applications for adjustment of status filed pursuant to §245 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 USC §1255. The Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services is an agency within the Department of Justice to whom the Attorney General's authority has in part been delegated, and is subject to the Attorney General's supervision.
- 5. Defendant District Director is an official of the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement (BUREAU) generally charged with supervisory authority over all operations of the BCIS within his/her District with certain specific exceptions not relevant here. 8 CFR §103.1(g)(2)(ii)(B). As will be shown,

Defendant District Director is the official with whom Plaintiffs' application for lawful permanent resident status was properly filed.

JURISDICTION

6. Jurisdiction in this case is proper under 28 USC §§1331 AND 1361, 5 USC §701 et seq., and 28 USC §2201 et seq. Relief is requested pursuant to said statutes.

VENUE

7. Venue is proper in this court, pursuant to 28 USC §1391(e), in that this is an action against officers and agencies of the United States in their official capacities, brought in the District where a Defendant resides and where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claim occurred. More specifically, Plaintiffs' application for lawful permanent resident status was properly filed and, to Plaintiffs' knowledge, remains pending with the Dallas BCIS District Director. (See attachment "A").

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

8. Plaintiffs have exhausted their administrative remedies. Plaintiffs have, through their attorney, made numerous inquiries concerning the status of the applications to no avail. (See Attachment "B").

CAUSE OF ACTION

- 9. Accordingly, Adan Farias petitioned for Plaintiff Ana Farias and was classified as a spouse of a Legal Resident as pursuant to INA §204. Approval of this petition is a prerequisite to the Plaintiff applying for adjustment of status to lawful permanent resident pursuant to INA §245.
- 10. The I-130 petition filed by Adan Farias was in fact approved by the BUREAU on January 5, 1993. (See attachment "C").
- 11. All legal prerequisites having been satisfied, Plaintiffs applied for adjustment of status to lawful permanent resident with the Defendant Bureau District Director on December 12, 1996. At the time of said filing, such applications were being adjudicated by the Defendant District Director in a period of between three and nine months. (See attachment "D").
- 12. Plaintiffs' applications for adjustment of status has now remained unadjudicated for well over six (6) years.
- 13. Defendants have sufficient information to determine Plaintiff's eligibility pursuant to applicable requirements. To date, said applications have not been adjudicated.
- 14. Defendant's refusal to act in this case is, as a matter of law, arbitrary and not in accordance with the law. Defendants willfully, and unreasonable, have delayed in and have refused to, adjudicate Plaintiffs' applications for over six years, thereby depriving them of the right to a decision on their status and the peace of mind to which Plaintiffs are entitled.

- 15. Plaintiffs have been greatly damaged by the failure of Defendants to act in accord with their duties under the law.
 - (a) Plaintiffs have further been damaged in that their employment authorization is tied to their status as applicants for permanent residency, and is limited to increments not to exceed one year. 8 CFR 274a12(c)(9). Therefore, as in the case of the advanced parole, Plaintiffs have been forced to repeatedly apply (and pay) for extensions of employment authorization, to the continued inconvenience and harassment of Plaintiffs, which is required by law to continually insure her work eligibility. INA §274A(a)(2), 8 USC §1324a(a)(2).
 - (b) Plaintiffs have further been damaged by simply being deprived of the status of lawful permanent residents during the interminable pendency of their applications.
- 16. The Defendants, in violation of the Administrative procedures Act, 5 USC §701 et seq., are unlawfully withholding or unreasonably delaying action on Plaintiff's application and have failed to carry out the adjudicative functions delegated to them by law with regard to Plaintiff's case.
- 17. Plaintiffs have made numerous status inquiries in an attempt to secure adjudication of their applications, all to no avail. Accordingly Plaintiffs have been forced to retain the services of an attorney to pursue the instant action. (See attachment "B").

- 18. WHEREFORE, in view of the arguments and authority noted herein, Plaintiffs respectfully pray that the Defendants be cited to appear herein and that, upon due consideration, the Court enter an order:
 - (a) requiring Defendants to adjudicate Plaintiffs applications for adjustment of status;
 - (b) awarding Plaintiffs reasonable attorney's fees; and
 - (c) granting such other relief at law and in equity as justice may require.

Respectfully submitted,

Jesus Sauceda

Attorney At Law

17668000

2565 N.E. 28th Street Fort Worth, Texas 76111

817 740 9996

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Exhibit Description

- A. I-485 Adjustment of Status with I-485A Applications filed 12/19/96Attachments: G-325A, Form 9003, Form I-134, copy of spouse's I-551 card, INS I-130 approval notice, copy of I-130 petition (with G325A's, marriage license, copy of I551 card, money order, and G-28).
- B Inquiries made to US INS.
- C. INS I-797 I-130 Approval Notice.
- D. INS District Office Dallas, Texas 245I receipt.